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Study Need and Importance: Interstitial cystitis/bladder pain syndrome and chronic prostatitis/chronic pelvic pain syndrome are collectively referred to as urological chronic pelvic pain syndrome (UCPPS) and can present with a broad range of urinary symptoms as well as pelvic pain. This study demonstrates the reliability and validity of 2 empirically derived brief scales for assessing these dimensions of UCPPS severity in a large, multisite, mixed-sex patient sample from the MAPP (Multi-Disciplinary Approach to the Study of Chronic Pelvic Pain) Research Network.

What We Found: Pelvic pain severity (PPS, 6 items) and urinary symptom severity (USS, 5 items) showed good consistency across 4 measurements over 1 month (reliability). PPS and USS scales also demonstrated both discriminant validity (differential relationships with specific clinical and self-report measures) and convergent validity (common association with nonurological somatic symptoms) when compared to a range of clinical examination, urological, pain and illness-impact measures. Lack of sex interactions indicated the measures are comparable in interstitial cystitis/bladder pain syndrome and chronic prostatitis/chronic pelvic pain syndrome. The figure summarizes characteristics more likely associated with having moderate to severe PPS or USS or both. Participants with moderate/severe PPS but mild USS have greater widespread genital/pelvic pain and neuropathic type pain as well as flare likelihood. Moderate/severe USS alone is associated with urgency on bladder filling, poorer physical well-being, sleep disturbance and sensory sensitivity. Increased psychosocial problems, nonPPS and fatigue are seen with combined moderate/severe PPS and USS.

Limitations: Although a large diverse sample was included, the specific relationships found need further replication.

Interpretation for Patient Care: The results demonstrate the brief PPS and USS scales can reliably assess severity of pain vs urinary symptoms for clinic use, and place men and women with UCPPS into potentially useful clinical groupings for use in trials, health services research and studies aiming to identify the pathophysiology underlying the wide range of UCPPS symptoms.
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Purpose: We assessed the reliability and validity of an efficient severity assessment for pelvic pain and urinary symptoms in urological chronic pelvic pain syndrome, which consists of interstitial cystitis/bladder pain syndrome and chronic prostatitis/chronic pelvic pain syndrome.

Materials and Methods: A total of 578 patients were assessed using brief, empirically derived report-scales for pelvic pain severity (PPS) and urinary symptom severity (USS) 4 times during a 1-month period and baseline clinic visit that included urological, pain and illness-impact measures. Mild, moderate and severe categories on each dimension were examined for measurement stability and construct validity.

Results: PPS and USS severity categories had adequate reliability and both discriminant validity (differential relationships with specific clinical and self-report measures) and convergent validity (common association with non-urological somatic symptoms). For example, increasing PPS was associated with pelvic tenderness and widespread pelvic pain, whereas USS was associated with...

Abbreviations and Acronyms

CP/CPSS = chronic prostatitis/chronic pelvic pain syndrome
GUPI = Genitourinary Pain Index
IC/BPS = interstitial cystitis/bladder pain syndrome
ICC = intraclass correlation coefficient
ICSI = Interstitial Cystitis Symptom Index
MAPP = Multi-Disciplinary Approach to the Study of Chronic Pelvic Pain
NRS = numerical rating scale
PPS = pelvic pain severity
PPS-gp = pelvic pain severity index tertile subgroup
QoL = quality of life
RICE = RAND Interstitial Cystitis Epidemiology study
SPS = Symptom Patterns Study
UCPPS = urological chronic pelvic pain syndrome
USS = urinary symptom severity
USS-gp = urinary symptom severity index tertile subgroup
urgency during a bladder filling test and increased sensory sensitivity. PPS and USS categories were independently associated with nonurological pain and emotional distress. A descriptive analysis identified higher likelihood characteristics associated with having moderate to severe PPS or USS or both. Lack of sex interactions indicated that the measures are comparable in interstitial cystitis/bladder pain syndrome and chronic prostatitis/chronic pelvic pain syndrome.

**Conclusions:** Women and men with urological chronic pelvic pain syndrome can be reliably subgrouped using brief self-report measures of mild, moderate or severe pelvic pain and urinary symptoms. Comparisons with a broad range of clinical variables demonstrate the validity and potential clinical utility of these classifications, including use in clinical trials, health services and biological research.

**Key Words:** cystitis, interstitial; prostatitis; pelvic pain; lower urinary tract symptoms

**INTERSTITIAL cystitis/bladder pain syndrome (IC/BPS) and chronic prostatitis/chronic pelvic pain syndrome (CP/CPPS) are collectively referred to as urological CPPS (UCPPS).**\(^1\) The Multi-Disciplinary Approach to the Study of Chronic Pelvic Pain (MAPP) Research Network (https://www.mappnetwork.org), established by the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, is a collaborative, multidisciplinary effort to identify key phenotypic and etiological factors of these costly and difficult-to-treat disorders (supplementary Appendix 1, https://www.jurology.com).\(^1\) UCPPS can present with a broad range of symptoms including pelvic pain that may or may not be related to urination, increased urinary urgency and frequency, and comorbid symptoms of non-pelvic pain, sleep disruption and negative mood. Although a variety of self-report scales have been developed, a lack of agreement on how to best organize and quantify UCPPS symptoms presents significant problems for both clinical management and design of randomized controlled trials. A recent MAPP study utilized items from the Genitourinary Pain Index (GUPI) and Interstitial Cystitis Symptom Index (ICSI)\(^2\) and empirically identified 2 components of primary UCPPS symptoms. One, labeled pelvic pain severity (PPS), included items related to pelvic pain, and the other, urinary symptom severity (USS), included items related to urinary frequency. Although moderately correlated, it was hypothesized that differential severity on these dimensions may prove useful to identify important UCPPS subgroups or phenotypes for mechanistic research and clinical management. The PSS and USS measures have been successfully used in several MAPP studies to quantify clinical outcomes, examine predictors of symptom change and assess relationships between UCPPS symptoms and physiological outcomes.\(^3\)–\(^7\)

Although empirically derived, this 2D UCPPS symptom organization has not been adequately examined for construct validity. Construct validity refers to a process whereby a measure is evaluated by examination of associations with hypothesized related and unrelated characteristics with the goal to demonstrate that the measurement tool behaves (or does not behave) in accord with the conceptual understanding of the underlying construct. This process is a critical step in development of empirically derived measures for which there are no specific gold standards to assess validity. It also enhances the utility of the measurement by pointing to how well the measured construct relates to markers of underlying mechanisms and established phenotypes. Specifically, for the USS and PPS measures it is important to demonstrate their differential associations with other key UCPPS symptoms and to document that they provide a parsimonious approach to quantify key severity variables for individual patients.

Many syndromes have “mild,” “moderate” and “severe” specifiers that serve to efficiently communicate the degree of severity. The current analysis tests the construct validity of the PPS and USS assessment scheme and the reliability and utility of using mild, moderate and severe categories. Key hypotheses include 1) tertile subgrouping of PPS (PPS-gp) and USS (USS-gp) are stable (reliable) over 1 month, 2) tertile based subgroups of PSS and USS show discriminant validity with relevant clinical variables; ie USS-gp, but not PPS-gp is associated with other self-report measures of urinary frequency and the opposite for association of PPS-gp with measures of pelvic pain severity, 3) both PPS-gp and USS-gp show independent associations with measures of illness impact such as quality of life (QoL) and negative affect, indicating their individual contributions to overall UCPPS severity, 4) PPS-gp and USS-gp show differential associations with relevant functional assessments such as sensitivity during a pelvic examination and response to bladder filling suggestive of differential underlying pathophysiology. To facilitate clinical use of the PPS-gp and USS-gp measures, data will also be presented on characteristics for participants with mild or moderate/severe symptoms across the dimensions.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The MAPP Research Network, multisite, longitudinal Trans-MAPP Symptom Patterns Study (SPS), enrolled 620 men and women with UCPS and included comprehensive cross-modality assessments of clinical, biological,
brain imaging and pain sensitivity measure with followup to 36 months. The current analysis focuses on variables collected during a 1-month run-in period and the baseline assessment of the SPS. The study received Institutional Review Board approval (IRB No. UCLA 15-0531).

Participants
Recruitment and inclusion and exclusion criteria for SPS have been published. Participants had a diagnosis of IC/BPS or CP/CPPS, pain severity of at least 1 on a 0–10 numerical rating scale (NRS), were 18 years or older and had UCPPS symptoms present the majority of the time during 3 of the previous 6 months. The sample was largely White and from urban or suburban areas. Recruitment was from both clinics and community advertisement, and income levels were diverse. Current treatments varied widely (supplementary Appendix 2, https://www.jurology.com).

Design
Following informed consent and enrollment, participants completed the GUPI and ICSI weekly via the Internet during a 4-week run-in period. Measures for the main analyses were completed during a baseline visit. At the same visit (or in a few cases within 2 weeks) participants underwent pelvic examinations to assess the numbers of levator ani muscle groups that were tender on palpation and a magnetic resonance imaging procedure that included a standardized bladder filling protocol.2

Measures
The primary independent variables of urinary symptoms and pelvic pain were characterized on 2 distinct dimensions as previously described. PPS varied from 0 to 28 and was the sum of the pain subscore of the GUPI10 and Item 4 of the ICSI.11 USS varied from 0 to 25 and was the sum of the GUPI urinary subscore and ICSI Items 1–3. The items used and scoring are included in supplementary Appendix 3 (https://www.jurology.com). To allow for easier identification of mild, moderate and severe symptom levels, cutoffs were set to yield roughly equal tertiles of participants (fig. 1).

Classifying continuous data into similar sample size groups avoids arbitrary cut points and is not a statistical approach to answering brain imaging procedure that included a standardized bladder filling protocol.9

Statistical Analyses
Category-specific intraclass correlation coefficients (ICC$s$) were estimated within a 1-way components of variance model for categorical data, in which participant is incorporated as a random effect. An ICC for repeated measures of the same outcome above 0.6 is considered an indicator of substantial reliability and, conversely, an indicator of low within-participant variability.22

Generalized linear models were used to assess construct validity of the PPS and USS severity subgroups across the outcome measures. Within each outcome model, PPS-gp and USS-gp were included as ordinal predictors (coded 0, 1, 2) to test for a linear trend between the categories and the outcome. These effects were considered significant at p < 0.01 (supplementary Appendix 4, https://www.jurology.com). Both PPS-gp and USS-gp effects were adjusted for age and sex by diagnosis, which were included as main effects. To aid in comparing the magnitude of PPS-gp and USS-gp across outcomes, analyses performed on standardized outcomes are displayed in forest plots of effect size estimates and 99% CIs. Unstandardized models are tabled in supplementary Appendix 2 (https://www.jurology.com). Initial models including PPS-gp and USS-gp interactions with age and sex by diagnosis were examined, but these did not show significant interaction effects so the terms were dropped (table 2 in supplementary Appendix 2, https://www.jurology.com).

To illustrate the characteristics of individuals who are higher or lower on the 2 severity dimensions, General Linear Model analyses comparing those in the moderate/severe PPS-gp, the USS-gp or both to those with mild PPS and USS were performed, again adjusting for age and sex by diagnosis. Similarly, as in the models testing for linear trend between the tertile subgroups, standardized models were plotted and unstandardized tables.

RESULTS
Stability of PPS and USS
ICC values for the tertile subgrouping (PPS-gp = 0.65 [99% CI 0.61–0.70]; USS-gp = 0.76 [99% CI 0.72–0.79])
demonstrated substantial reliability for how participants were classified into subgroups across the 4-week run-in period, although they were, as expected, lower than those for the continuous measurements (PPS=0.76 [99% CI 0.72–0.79], USS=0.86 [99% CI 0.84–0.88]).

Construct Validity
Figure 2 shows the relationship between the PPS and USS subgroups and each of the outcome measures as well the main effects for age and sex by diagnosis. Standardized values for point estimates and 99% CIs are shown to facilitate by-group

Table. Variable descriptive statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Label</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>No. Missing</th>
<th>No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pelvic and urinary pain severity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPS</td>
<td>14.27</td>
<td>14.61</td>
<td>5.64</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USS</td>
<td>11.62</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>6.22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bladder and urological variables</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most bothersome symptom</td>
<td>SYMQ Q8 most bothersome symptom (% pain)</td>
<td>392 (71%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>33</td>
<td>545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currently experiencing flare</td>
<td>SYMQ Q12 experiencing a flare now (0–1)</td>
<td>114 (20%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelvic pain/discomfort NRS</td>
<td>SYMQ Q6 urological/pain symptom severity (0–10)</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RICE criteria</td>
<td>None, pain during urination or filling, both (0–2)</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuropathic type pelvic pain</td>
<td>Pain detect score (0–38)</td>
<td>9.28</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>6.56</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widespread pelvic pain</td>
<td>Genital Body Map Index 4 (0–4)</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelvic floor tenderness</td>
<td>Pelvic Floor Tenderness Index 6 (0–6)</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urge on bladder filling</td>
<td>Urgewith350 cc water ingestion (0–10)</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonpelvic pain NRS</td>
<td>SYMQ Q6 nonurological/pelvic pain symptoms (0–10)</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mood NRS</td>
<td>SYMQ Q7 mood pos to neg (0–10)</td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widespread body pain</td>
<td>Widespreadness of Pain Index 12 (0–12)</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nociplastic pain</td>
<td>Fibromyalgia score (0–31)</td>
<td>8.31</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>5.27</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somatic awareness</td>
<td>CMSI somatic awareness sum (0–18)</td>
<td>4.89</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensory sensitivity</td>
<td>CMSI sensory sensitivity sum (0–4)</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QoL and illness impact</td>
<td>Physical well-being</td>
<td>SF-12 Qol physical (0–100)</td>
<td>45.55</td>
<td>46.50</td>
<td>10.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental well-being</td>
<td>SF-12 Qol mental (0–100)</td>
<td>43.32</td>
<td>43.98</td>
<td>10.73</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep disturbance</td>
<td>PROMIS sleep T-score (0–100)</td>
<td>54.70</td>
<td>54.30</td>
<td>9.48</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue</td>
<td>PROMIS fatigue T-score (0–100)</td>
<td>55.04</td>
<td>55.10</td>
<td>9.90</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>HADS depression score (0–21)</td>
<td>5.76</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>HADS anxiety score (0–21)</td>
<td>7.34</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication type</td>
<td>Currently taking medications for any pain?</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
interpretation (supplementary Appendix 2, https://www.jurology.com). Both PPS-gp and USS-gp were highly and similarly related to reporting pain or urinary symptoms, respectively, as their most bothersome symptom and showed independent relationships with the likelihood of meeting the RICE Figure 2. a to c, effect sizes for a 1-category increase in PPS-gp and USS-gp for the standardized clinical outcomes. PPS-gp and USS-gp effects were adjusted for sex and diagnosis, which was included as a main effect in the model (and shown in the left panel), and for the other group effect. The effect estimates and corresponding 99% CIs are displayed. Effect sizes for which the 99% CI does not cross over the dotted vertical X=0 line are statistically significant at the type I error rate of 0.01.
criteria for painful bladder filling and/or painful urgency. Both the PPS-gp and USS-gp were associated with measures of neuropathic pelvic pain, nonpelvic pain NRS and nociplastic pain. Additionally both PPS and USS tertiles were associated with QoL and illness impact measures, such as physical well-being,
sleep disturbance, fatigue and depression. Some measures were only associated with the PPS tertiles and not the USS tertiles, including widespread pelvic pain, pelvic floor tenderness, mood, mental well-being, anxiety, more centralized medication use and being in a flare. Conversely, measures only associated with USS tertiles were urge on bladder filling, somatic awareness and sensory sensitivity. Sleep disturbance was related to both dimensions but somewhat more with USS.

**Characteristics of Individuals with Moderate or Severe Symptoms**

Individuals with moderate/severe PPS, USS or both were compared to participants with mild USS and PPS on selected clinical outcomes (fig. 3). These are summarized in figure 4, which illustrates those characteristics most associated with moderate or greater severity on each of the dimensions or both. Participants with moderate/severe PPS have greater widespread genital/pelvic pain and neuropathic type pain and likelihood to be in a flare. Moderate/severe USS is associated with urgency on bladder filling, poorer physical well-being, sleep disturbance and sensory sensitivity. General psychological issues, including poorer mental well-being and mood, depression, and anxiety are more likely when moderate/severe on both PPS and USS.

**DISCUSSION**

The wide range of UCPPS symptoms complicates assessment of illness severity for both the researcher and clinician. This study provides new evidence demonstrating the reliability and validity of a 2D approach to severity assessment based on a small number of items from 2 commonly used self-report instruments. Measures of PPS and USS have been used as outcomes in several studies following the initial paper describing their empirical derivation, but limited data on their psychometric properties have been available. Our analysis shows that women and men with UCPPS can be reliably subgrouped based on mild, moderate or severe symptoms of pelvic pain and/or urinary symptoms. This approach is further supported by our comparisons with a broad range of clinical variables demonstrating the validity and potential utility of these classifications.

Although continuous measures, such as total scale scores, are appealing as outcome variables, it is often useful to identify individuals within severity subgroups for participant selection stratification and sample description in clinical trials and describing treatment response for personalized medicine. A measurement system should provide stable results over repeated testing when symptoms remain stable (test-retest reliability). The tertile subgroups for PPS and USS showed adequate stability over 4 weekly assessments, especially given the probability of some real symptom changes over this time period. Further supporting the usefulness of this severity assessment approach, the multivariable data showed robust and differential relationships between the PPS-gp and USS-gp classifications and relevant clinical variables. As hypothesized, PPS-gp was most associated with both self-report and examination-based measures of pelvic pain, including pain as the most bothersome symptom, a pain/discomfort severity scale, greater number of pelvic/genital pain locations and pelvic tenderness on examination. Similarly, USS-gp was associated with urinary frequency as the most bothersome symptom and ratings of urge following bladder filling. Interestingly, pelvic pain seems in some ways a predominant symptom based on about 70% reporting it as their most bothersome symptom and its close association with flare status. Differentiation of the 2 domains was also evident in other clinical variables; PPS-gp was most associated with negative mood, overall poorer mental well-being and anxiety symptoms and USS-gp more associated with sensory sensitivity, somatic awareness and poorer overall physical well-being. The PPS-gp findings...
regarding impact of pain on psychological and mood variables, especially anxiety, are consistent with data from other chronic pain conditions.\textsuperscript{23,24} It might also be expected that USS severity would be related to sleep disturbance based on interruptions from nighttime frequency and urge, but the finding of USS-gp being most associated with increased sensory sensitivity and decreased physical well-being suggest a broader sensory-alteration phenotype may be underlying increased urinary frequency and urgency. PPS and USS both showed significant and independent associations with severity of nonpelvic pain, fatigue and depression but not number of nonpelvic pain locations. Increased use of centrally acting medications was found in those with moderate/severe PPS and USS. Overall, these results indicate the 2 UCPPS severity dimensions show discriminant validity in that they are robust markers for relevant pain and urinary symptoms, and convergent validity in their common association with greater nonurological symptomatology. Importantly, although women show greater severity on some measures, the reported relationships were largely independent of sex and diagnosis. This finding is important because it supports the generality of the findings and utility of the measures for assessing severity across the wide age range of UCPPS as well as men and women with IC/BPS and men with CP/CPPS.

Limitations for our approach include the use of retrospective reports rather than the more burdensome voiding diaries, although self-reports do show adequate correspondence with diaries.\textsuperscript{25} In addition, the simple tertile subgrouping based on the current sample used here requires cross-validation in future studies to confirm its clinical utility.

CONCLUSIONS

The descriptive analysis in figure 4 highlights characteristics that are more likely in patients who show moderate to severe PPS or USS or both. This may be useful information for clinicians by illuminating potential comorbid problems for assessment and treatment. These data together with the primary results suggest the PPS-gp and USS-gp assessment can reliably classify individuals with UCPPS into clinical groups, which may in future help to predict differential response to various treatments (ie severity-based prognostication). This is important for clinical trial design and subgroup analysis, health services research and studies aiming to identify the pathophysiology underlying the wide range of UCPPS symptoms. Further research using this 2D model can be directed at associating how physiologically identified phenotypes correspond to these 2 symptom-based domains and exploring the hypothesis of altered general sensory processing associated with USS.
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